

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/060,027	. 01/28/2002	Gerald Lacour	SMARTEYE.PAT	4931	
	7	590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	DAVID G. HENRY			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
	900 Washingto	n Avenue, 7th Floor				
	P.O. Box 1470			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Waco, TX 77603-1470			3627		

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/060,027	LACOUR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit				
		N	lichael Cuff	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appea	rs on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. tutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUN a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MC use the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the control of the contro	,			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 29 <i>Mari</i>	~h 2006					
·			ction is non-final.					
,	, 							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 7-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	ut(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infon	the of References Cited (PTO-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pi mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or l the No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	[·] O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/060,027

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haber et al. in view of Eldridge.

Haber et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying that the communications between a vehicle dealership representative and a consumer would be the object of the audio-visual record.

Haber et al. shows a system for time-stamping a digital document, for example any alphanumeric, video, audio (actuating software to run digital video and audio is inherent, evidence patents have been provided in past office actions), or pictorial data. The representation is transmitted to an outside agency (storage database). The content of a document and a time stamp of its existence are "indelibly" incorporated into the digital data of the document. (column 2, lines 55-57) If further proof were demanded upon adversary allegation, the document or video could be retrieved. (playing stored audio and video in response to an allegation) (column 8, lines 3-5) The disclosure states that in many situations there is a need to establish the date on which a document was created and to prove that the text of a document in question is in fact the same as that of the original dated document. (column 1, lines 6-9)

Eldridge teaches, abstract, that the growing practice by car dealers of videotaping the sales transactions is done in order to protect customers from their finance and insurance staff.

Based on the teaching of Eldridge, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Haber et al. invention to be used in a car dealership in order to protect the customers.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/060,027 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael liff 5/29/06 Michael Cuff

May 29, 2006